1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7 8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
	AT S	SEATTLE
9	GMAC, INC., a Delaware corporation,	No. CV9-1257-MJP
<ul><li>10</li><li>11</li></ul>	Plaintiff,	ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR TEMPORARY
12	V.	RESTRAINING ORDER
13	LESLIE-FOX, INC., a Washington corporation; JACK E. FOX, and the marital	
14	community comprised of JACK E. FOX and ELECTA FOX, husband and wife,	
15 16	Defendants.	
17	THIS MATTER came before the Court on Plaintiff GMAC, Inc.'s Motion for Temporary	
18	Restraining Order (Dkt. # 2). The Court, having reviewed the Complaint, Plaintiff's Motion, the	
19	Declaration of Jim Garland and the exhibits thereto, and the records and files herein, having been	
20	satisfied that Defendants have been served with the complaint and Plaintiff's motion, and having	
21	heard from both parties at oral argument on the matter, hereby finds that good cause has been	
22	shown to issue the requested temporary restraining order based on the following:	
23	1. Plaintiff GMAC, Inc. ("GMAC") has shown that, at trial, it will likely prevail on	
24	the merits of its claims that Defendants are liable for (1) breaching the Wholesale Agreement, the	
25	General Security Agreement, the Forbearance	ce Agreement, and the Surrender Agreement; (2)

converting the collateral assigned to GMAC under the General Security Agreement and proceeds

25

26

- thereof, and causing the funds to be used for purposes other than repaying GMAC as agreed;
- 2 and/or (3) breach of trust for diverting sums held in trust for GMAC and causing the funds to be
- 3 used for purposes other than repaying GMAC as agreed.
- 4 2. Plaintiff will suffer irreparable injury if temporary injunctive relief is denied,
- 5 because the ongoing conversion of its collateral will make it impossible to either perfect its
- 6 security interest in the collateral or to otherwise reclaim the collateral; and
- 7 3. The balance of equities favors granting temporary injunctive relief, because the
- 8 order the court will impose will not impose undue hardship on Defendants, as they will be able to
- 9 continue their business subject only to the obligation pay GMAC on an ongoing basis to satisfy
- 10 its collateral interest in each vehicle sold by Defendant.
- 11 4. Requiring Defendants to abide by the numerous financing agreements they have
- made with Plaintiff is in the public interest.
- 5. Collectively, these findings satisfy the requirements for injunctive relief as set
- 14 forth in Winter v. Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc., 129 S. Ct. 365, 374 (2008); see also
- 15 Stormans, Inc. v. Selecky, 571 F.3d 960, 977 (9th Cir. 2009) (noting that Winter rejected aspects
- of the Ninth Circuit's prior standard for injunctive relief).
- 17 6. The court notes that Defendants contended at oral argument that they would soon
- seek bankruptcy protection. The court issues this order with the understanding that Defendants
- 19 have not yet filed a bankruptcy petition.
- 20 NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:
- 21 1. Plaintiff's Motion for Temporary Restraining Order is GRANTED.
- 22 2. Defendants are restrained and enjoined for the period of time this Order is in
- 23 effect from doing, or from permitting or suffering any other person or entity to do, any of the
- 24 following: (a) paying, disbursing, or otherwise transferring any proceeds from the sale of any
- vehicle to any party other than GMAC without first paying GMAC the balance owed to GMAC
- for the particular vehicle, (b) selling any vehicle acquired by the Dealership with floorplan

1	financing from GMAC for a net sale price less than the balance owed to GMAC for the	
2	particular vehicle, (c) commingling any of the proceeds from any vehicle sale with other funds	
3	until GMAC has been paid in accordance with subsection (a) above; and (d) interfering with	
4	GMAC's rights to have an On-Site Representative at the Dealership's premises.	
5	3. This restraining order shall become effective for entry upon the posting of a bond	
6	in the amount of \$50,000 and shall remain in force and effect for ten days in accordance with	
7	Fed. R. Civ. P. 65(b)(2), unless otherwise ordered by the court.	
8	DATED this 4th day of September, 2009.	
9		
10	( Jun R. Klit	
11	JAMES L. ROBART United States District Judge	
12	Officed States District stage	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
<ul><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul>		
∠∪		